



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

**Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?**

A. My testimony addresses the development of the cost of service study, both principles and practices, and a potential rate design for gas delivery service. I address certain fundamental rate design principles and how those principles are translated in to rate design for a mature utility.

**Q. HOW IS THE TESTIMONY ORGANIZED?**

A. The testimony is organized in the following sections:

- Section 1- Introduction
- Section 2- Cost of Service Principles
- Section 3- The Cost of Service Process
- Section 4- Results of the Cost Study
- Section 5- Principles of Rate Design
- Section 6- Rate Design for the Proposed Service Classes
- Section 7- Summary

In addition, an exhibit consisting of six schedules is attached to the testimony.

**Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.**

A. I recommend that the proposed cost of service study be accepted as a reasonable cost allocation study for a gas distribution utility such as EGNB. I further recommend that the proposed rate design concepts be accepted as the basis for any future transition from market based rates to cost of service rates. In addition, I recommend that the results of the cost study form a part of the assessment of the timeline for ending the Development Period.

1

2 **Section 2 - Cost of Service Principles**

3

4 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE AND USE OF THE COST OF SERVICE**  
5 **STUDY?**

6 A. There are many purposes for utility cost analysis, ranging from designing  
7 appropriate price signals to determining the share of costs or revenue  
8 requirements borne by various rate classes. In this case, the cost study provides a  
9 picture of the annual costs associated with a mature utility service area operating  
10 under the traditional rate of return regulation. The cost study illustrates the  
11 revenue required from each class to produce the allowed return for the test year  
12 2010.

13

14 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE CONCEPT OF A TEST YEAR.**

15 A. Rates are based on the cost for a test year designed to be the most reasonable  
16 estimate of the actual costs and revenues for the first twelve months after new  
17 rates take effect. This period is called the "Rate Effective Period". A future test  
18 year, as used in the cost of service study for EGNB, looks at expected costs and  
19 revenues for the Rate Effective Period and provides the best match of costs and  
20 revenues during the period. In this case, the essence of the determination of the  
21 end of the Development Period requires a review of the costs and revenues for the  
22 Rate Effective Period and beyond to determine if an end to the Development  
23 Period is appropriate. Thus, using the 2010 budget estimate for revenues and  
24 costs provides the most appropriate definition of the test year not only in theory  
25 but in practice.

26

27 **Q. DOES EGNB RECOMMEND A SPECIFIC ALLOCATION OF COSTS TO**  
28 **RATES BASED ON THE COST OF SERVICE STUDY?**

29 A. No. Cost of service is a guide to the rate design process. As I discuss below,  
30 there are many factors that impact the decision as to the rates for each class of  
31 service. From an economic perspective, if class rates exceed marginal cost and

1 are less than stand alone costs, the rates are said to be subsidy free. Thus, factors  
2 other than an embedded cost of service analysis must be considered in  
3 determining class rates. For EGNB, the status of its greenfield development and  
4 the existence of cost deferrals create an additional issue related to cost of service  
5 that most utilities do not have to address. Namely, the allocation of deferred costs  
6 and the ability to recover these costs while maintaining competitive market rates.

7  
8 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE VARIOUS TYPES OF COST OF SERVICE**  
9 **STUDIES THAT MAY BE USEFUL FOR RATE DESIGN AND THE**  
10 **ALLOCATION OF REVENUE REQUIREMENTS.**

11 A. In general, cost studies may be based on embedded costs or marginal cost.  
12 Embedded cost studies analyze the costs for a test period based on either the book  
13 value of accounting costs (a historical period) or the estimated book value of costs  
14 for a forecast test year. Where a forecast test year is used the costs and revenues  
15 are typically derived from budgets prepared as part of the utility's financial plan.  
16 As noted above this is the most appropriate representation of the Rate Effective  
17 Period. Typically, embedded cost studies are used to allocate the revenue  
18 requirement between jurisdictions, classes and between customers within a class.

19  
20 Marginal cost studies do not reflect actual costs but rely on estimates of the  
21 expected changes in cost associated with changes in service. Marginal cost  
22 studies are forward looking to the extent permitted by available data. Marginal  
23 cost studies are useful for rate design, but not class cost allocation. Where it is  
24 important to send appropriate price signals associated with additional  
25 consumption by customers, an understanding of marginal cost may be useful. For  
26 a gas utility, detailed studies are not required to assess the impact of additional  
27 consumption since the delivery system is built for design day requirements and  
28 unless the growth increases design day requirements above an amount that  
29 existing facilities can deliver (an unlikely result in most instances) marginal cost  
30 of load growth from existing customers is zero.

31

1 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE REASON THAT COST OF SERVICE STUDIES**  
2 **ARE USED.**

3 A. Cost studies represent an attempt to analyze which customer or group of  
4 customers cause the utility to incur the costs to provide service. The requirement  
5 to develop cost studies results from the nature of utility costs. Utility costs are  
6 characterized by the existence of common and joint costs<sup>1</sup>. In addition, utility  
7 costs may be fixed or variable costs<sup>2</sup>. Finally, utility costs exhibit significant  
8 economies of scale<sup>3</sup>. These characteristics have implications for both cost  
9 analysis and rate design from a theoretical and practical perspective. The  
10 development of cost studies, either marginal or embedded, requires an  
11 understanding of the operating characteristics of the utility system. Further, as  
12 discussed below, different cost studies provide different contributions to the  
13 development of economically efficient rates and the cost responsibility by  
14 customer class.

15

16 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE APPLICATION OF ECONOMIC THEORY TO**  
17 **COST ALLOCATION.**

18 A. The allocation of costs using cost of service studies is not a theoretical economic  
19 exercise. It is however a practical requirement of regulation since rates must be  
20 set based on the cost of service for the utility under cost based regulatory models.  
21 As a general matter, utilities must be allowed a reasonable opportunity to earn a  
22 return of and on the assets used to serve customers. This is the cost of service  
23 standard and equals the revenue requirements for utility service. The opportunity  
24 to earn the allowed return depends on the rates applied to customers producing  
25 that revenue requirement. Using the information developed in the cost of service

---

<sup>1</sup> Common costs occur when the fixed costs of providing service to one or more classes or the cost of providing multiple products to the same class use the same facilities and the use by one class precludes the use by another class. Joint costs occur when two or more products are produced simultaneously by the same facilities in fixed proportions. In either case, the allocation of such costs is arbitrary in a theoretical economic sense.

<sup>2</sup> Fixed costs do not change with the level of output, while variable costs change directly with the utility output. Most non-fuel related utility costs are fixed and do not vary with changes in load.

<sup>3</sup> Scale economies result in declining average cost as output increases and marginal costs must be below average costs.

1 study to advise the development of rates for each class by understanding the  
2 allocated cost for the class is useful in the rate design process.

3  
4 However, the existence of joint and common costs makes any allocation of costs  
5 arbitrary. This is theoretically true for any of the various embedded cost methods  
6 that may be used to allocate costs. Theoretical economists have developed the  
7 theory of subsidy free prices to evaluate traditional regulatory cost allocations.  
8 Prices are said to be subsidy free so long as the price exceeds marginal cost but is  
9 less than stand alone costs (SAC). The logic for this concept is that if customers'  
10 prices exceed marginal cost those customers make a contribution to the fixed  
11 costs of the utility. All other customers benefit from this contribution to fixed  
12 costs because it reduces the cost they are required to bear. Prices must be below  
13 the SAC because the customer would not be willing to participate in the service if  
14 prices exceed SAC.

15  
16 SAC is an important concept for EGNB because most customers have previously  
17 met requirements for the end uses supplied by natural gas through the use of  
18 alternative fuels. In this case, the SAC may not be the cost of stand alone gas  
19 facilities, but the use of alternative energy to meet end use requirements. As a  
20 result, subsidy free prices permit all customers to benefit from the systems scale  
21 and the common costs, and all customers are better off because the system is  
22 sustainable. If the process of cost allocation results in rates that exceed stand  
23 alone costs for some customers, prices must be set below the SAC, but above  
24 marginal cost to assure that those customers make the maximum practical  
25 contribution to common costs.

26  
27 SAC plays a role in addressing issues such as competitive bypass where  
28 customers may potentially exit the grid. SAC represents an element of the  
29 allocation process for cost studies and is an alternative to the concept of fully  
30 allocated costs. Unlike other more conventional allocation methods, SAC relies

1 on estimated competitive costs rather than actual costs. In this sense, SAC  
2 becomes an element of cost allocation to competitive customers.

3  
4 **Q. IF ANY ALLOCATION OF COMMON COSTS IS ARBITRARY, HOW IS**  
5 **IT POSSIBLE TO MEET THE PRACTICAL REQUIREMENTS OF COST**  
6 **ALLOCATION?**

7 A. As noted above, the practical reality of regulation often requires that common  
8 costs be allocated among jurisdictions, classes of service, rate schedules and  
9 customers within rate schedules. The key to a reasonable cost allocation is an  
10 understanding of cost causation. From a cost of service perspective, the best  
11 approach is to directly assign costs where costs are incurred for a customer or  
12 class of customers and can be so identified. Where costs cannot be directly  
13 assigned, the development of allocation factors by rate schedule, or class, uses  
14 principles of both economics and engineering. This results in appropriate  
15 allocation factors for different elements of costs based on cost causation. For  
16 example, we know from the way customers are billed that each customer requires  
17 a meter. Meters differ in size and type depending on the customer's load  
18 characteristics. These meters have different costs based on size and type. Thus  
19 meter costs are customer related, but differences in the cost of meters are reflected  
20 by using a different meter cost for each class of service.

21  
22 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE SCALE ECONOMIES ASSOCIATED WITH GAS**  
23 **DISTRIBUTION SERVICE.**

24 A. Gas system scale economies reflect the relationship between the installed cost of  
25 pipe by size and type, coupled with the increased capacity from pressure and pipe  
26 diameter. Simply doubling the size of the gas main more than doubles the  
27 available capacity of the main, at a cost approximately equal to or less than  
28 double the smaller size all else equal. For a low pressure system, increasing pipe  
29 size from two inch to four inch allows over five times the amount of gas to flow,  
30 and the flow rate increases under higher pressure by more than six times that of  
31 two inch pipe all else equal. The resulting cost causation implies that larger

1 customers impose lower per unit costs on the distribution system than do smaller  
2 customers.

3  
4 **Q. WHAT IMPLICATIONS RESULT FROM SCALE ECONOMIES**  
5 **RELATED TO COST OF SERVICE AND RATE DESIGN?**

6 A. The implication of scale economies for both cost allocation and rate design on the  
7 gas system are quite important. Namely, the cost to serve residential and the  
8 smallest general service customers (excluding gas costs) is the same regardless of  
9 the size of customer, since the minimum system installed by EGNB will serve  
10 nearly every customer in this group. As discussed below, the classes were  
11 developed based on this consideration, and combined residential and the smallest  
12 general service customers into a single homogeneous class of service.

13  
14 **Section 3 - The Cost of Service Process**

15  
16 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COST OF SERVICE PROCESS.**

17 A. Cost of service begins with the collection of test year costs, load data (customer  
18 billing and usage records) and operating data. The cost data is analyzed using a  
19 three step process. The three steps are functionalization, classification and  
20 allocation.

21  
22 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COST FUNCTIONALIZATION.**

23 A. Functionalization is the first step of the cost analysis. Costs are functionalized  
24 based on the purpose of the costs. The cost functions are production, storage,  
25 transmission, distribution and customer (also referred to as "On site" for meter,  
26 regulator and service line installed on customer's site). Not every gas utility  
27 invests in facilities to perform each of these functions. Some gas utilities own gas  
28 production assets such as wells and gathering facilities that would be part of the  
29 production function. The storage function may be underground storage facilities  
30 or LNG tanks and related assets. For an LDC, transmission mains are usually  
31 very large steel mains operating under pressures similar to long haul pipelines.



1 Distribution facilities include city gate stations, mains and related equipment such  
2 as valves. Customer facilities include a portion of service lines, meters and  
3 regulators installed on-site at the customer's premises. EGNB currently performs  
4 only the distribution and customer functions, because they have not invested  
5 resources in production, storage and transmission.

6

7 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE COST CLASSIFICATION.**

8 A. The second step in the cost of service process is cost classification. The purpose  
9 of this step is to classify costs based on the underlying cost causation. The four  
10 cost defining characteristics for a gas utility are demand (capacity), commodity,  
11 customer and revenue. Demand costs refer to those portions of the system that  
12 must be designed to serve the maximum demand on that portion of the system. In  
13 the case of a gas LDC the system as a whole is designed to serve the design day  
14 load requirements of its customers. Portions of the system may be designed to  
15 serve the design day load of a specific customer. Large industrial customers may  
16 have a design day that is not coincident with the system peak, in which case local  
17 facilities must serve the customer on its design day. Commodity costs are those  
18 costs that vary directly with the amount of gas consumed. Customer costs vary  
19 with specific customer requirements, the number of customers or both. Revenue  
20 related costs include costs that vary with sales revenue.

21

22 Some costs cannot be directly classified as demand, commodity, customer or  
23 revenue. These costs are classified based on the factor most closely related to  
24 cost incurrence. For example, it is possible to classify mains into a customer and  
25 demand component directly. The O&M expenses for mains are then classified in  
26 the same way the mains account is classified. General plant is most closely  
27 related to labour costs, as these costs are typically incurred in support of the  
28 utility's workforce, so that the classification of labour between customer and  
29 demand in all of the non-general plant accounts serves as the basis for classifying  
30 general plant between demand and customer. Thus the same percentage of labour

1 classified as demand is used to classify general plant as demand and so forth. The  
2 details of classification are part of the cost study as discussed below.

3  
4 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COST ALLOCATION STEP.**

5 A. The final step of the cost of service process is the allocation of those costs that  
6 cannot be directly assigned. Cost studies use two types of allocation factors:  
7 external factors and internal factors.

8  
9 *External* allocation factors are based on direct knowledge from data in the utility's  
10 accounting and other records. For example, distribution costs are functionalized  
11 to various distribution accounts, classified to demand and customer and are  
12 allocated by external distribution allocation factors related to design day demand  
13 and number and type of customers. Consider the example of the external  
14 allocation factor used in the allocation of mains. The cost of distribution mains  
15 are known and functionalized directly to the distribution function. Once assigned  
16 to distribution, the costs are classified as demand or customer related using the  
17 minimum system as the external factor for the customer component and the  
18 design day demand for the demand component of costs. In the case of EGNB,  
19 77% of mains were determined to represent the cost of the minimum system  
20 requirements and 23% to meet peak demand needs. The costs are then allocated  
21 to each class of service based on the number of customers in the class for  
22 customer costs and the design day demand for the class for demand costs.

23  
24 *Internal* allocation factors are based on some combination of external allocation  
25 factors, previously directly assigned costs and other internal allocation factors.  
26 For example, the allocation factors for property insurance costs are based on plant  
27 investment amounts assigned to each function; it is necessary to compute the  
28 amount of plant by function before property insurance costs can be assigned.  
29 Both external and internal allocation factors are used in each of the classification  
30 and allocation steps.

31

1

2 **Q. WHAT FACTORS CAUSE THE LDC TO INCUR DISTRIBUTION**  
3 **COSTS?**

4 A. Embedded costs for the distribution system are determined by two major factors:  
5 (1) the number and location of customers and (2) their demands (albeit for gas  
6 distribution the impact of demand becomes less important when pipe scale  
7 economies for residential and small commercial customers cause the minimum  
8 installation to also serve design day demand). Utility cost studies have  
9 traditionally attempted to identify a portion of distribution costs as customer-  
10 related and the remaining portion as demand-related. While it is true that  
11 marginal demand costs play a role in the installed facilities, the customer  
12 considerations play a much larger role since local facilities and policies reflect the  
13 underlying customer mix and density. The critical issue for a gas system is that  
14 the system provides sufficient capacity to meet the design day load requirements  
15 of customers. For residential and the smallest general service customers, the  
16 smallest distribution pipe installed on the system will serve the design day  
17 capacity of these customers. As a result, the cost to serve the individual  
18 customers in these classes is the same regardless of the design day demand.

19

20 **Q. HOW ARE THESE PRINCIPLES TRANSLATED INTO THE COST OF**  
21 **SERVICE STUDY?**

22 A. The development of allocation factors to reflect the way system costs are incurred  
23 provides the link between principles and practice. For example, the demand  
24 portion of the gas distribution system must be allocated on design day  
25 requirements. This point is discussed in the National Association for Regulatory  
26 Utility Commissioners (“NARUC”) *Gas Distribution Rate Design Manual*  
27 (“NARUC Manual”) as follows:

28

Demand or capacity costs vary with the quantity or size of plant and

29

equipment. They are related to the maximum system requirements which

1                   the system is designed to serve during short intervals and do not vary with  
2                   the number of customers or their annual usage.<sup>4</sup> (Emphasis added.)  
3

4                   The design day demand allocation factor is developed using the maximum level  
5                   of heating degree days as the basis of the demand allocator for the system  
6                   facilities that are classified as a demand component. The principle of cost  
7                   causation requires a reasonable allocation methodology to use design-day demand  
8                   as the allocation factor for the demand portion of mains. Similarly, customer  
9                   costs must be allocated to classes based on the number of customers or in some  
10                  cases the weighted number of customers. Where gas commodity service is  
11                  unbundled, there is no allocation of commodity costs required, as is the case for  
12                  EGNB. Each choice of an allocation factor is made to reflect the practical  
13                  realities of system operation and the variable, or variables that cause a particular  
14                  cost category. This is the way to translate cost principles into a cost of service  
15                  study.  
16

17   **Q.   HOW DO OTHER ALLOCATION FACTORS SATISFY THESE**  
18   **PRINCIPLES?**

19   A.   By carefully understanding how costs are incurred, it is possible to develop  
20   allocation factors that match costs with the service or activity responsible for the  
21   costs. The following is a summary of some of the major allocation factors and the  
22   relationship to cost causation.

- 23           1. Customers- Certain costs such as meter and service line are directly  
24           related to the number of customers. The use of customers to allocate these  
25           costs ties cost causation to allocation. Similarly, some portion of the  
26           system of mains is directly related to connecting the customer to the  
27           system so it is appropriate to allocate a portion of mains to customers.

---

<sup>4</sup> *Gas Distribution Rate Design Manual*, Prepared by the NARUC Staff Subcommittee on Gas, June 1989, pp. 23-24

1 The cost study uses a minimum system method<sup>5</sup> to estimate the customer  
2 component of mains. Even within the customer allocation factor, cost  
3 analysis must correctly factor in the different unit costs among customer  
4 classes. For example there is a difference in meter costs for customers by  
5 size and type of meter. The customer allocation of meter costs reflects  
6 these differences by using a weighted customer count or actual class meter  
7 costs to reflect meter cost differences.

- 8 2. General Plant- The allocation of general plant considers the use of that  
9 plant in the allocation process. Since much of general plant is directly  
10 related to employees (office space, office equipment, tools and computer  
11 related investment), these costs are allocated on a labour allocation factor.
- 12 3. O&M Expenses- The allocation of O&M expenses follow the allocation of  
13 the plant that it supports. The rationale for this is that the plant allocation  
14 reflects the factors that cause the plant requirements. Since O&M is  
15 designed to allow the plant to operate and continue its useful life, the  
16 expenses associated with that plant are related to the classification of the  
17 plant. Thus the allocation to design day demand or customer follows  
18 directly from the allocation of the plant for which the expenses are  
19 incurred. Thus distribution operation and maintenance expenses are  
20 allocated the same way that the plant is allocated.
- 21 4. Deferral Account / Development O&M- For EGNB, one unique rate base  
22 item is the Deferral Account. Also, certain expenses associated with  
23 development were allowed to be deferred (Development O&M). These  
24 costs are essentially functionalized as other costs to keep them separate for  
25 rate making purposes, and classified as plant since they related to deferred  
26 costs associated with the operation of the distribution system. The  
27 allocation follows the plant allocation factors of demand and customer  
28 based on the weighting of the plant components because the costs are

---

<sup>5</sup> The minimum system method calculates the cost of all mains on the system at the cost of the smallest size main installed by the utility. This is usually 2 inch plastic main. These costs are compared to the actual cost of all main (in the same year dollars) to produce a percentage of main costs to be classified as customer related.

1                   caused by the existence and operation of the underlying plant developed to  
2                   serve both design day demand and customers.

3                   The above discussion illustrates how the application of cost principles results in  
4                   the allocation of costs based on cost causation.

5

6   **Q.    DOES THE COST OF SERVICE ANALYSIS PROVIDE INFORMATION**  
7   **USEFUL FOR RATE DESIGN?**

8   A.    Yes. For example, the cost of service study allows the analyst to determine  
9           appropriate service classifications for use in developing rates. For EGNB, the  
10          result of class considerations permitted the development of a small general service  
11          class that includes both residential and small commercial customers based on the  
12          size of customers. For this class, customers are served with the same type of  
13          meter, regulator, service line and main. The result is a relatively homogeneous  
14          class of customers served under the same rate design.

15

#### 16   **Section 4 - Results of the Cost Study**

17

18   **Q.    PLEASE DISCUSS THE APPLICATION OF THE THREE STEPS IN THE**  
19   **COST OF SERVICE STUDY.**

20   A.    Costs are functionalized and classified in the study based on accounting data from  
21          the books and records of EGNB. Costs are allocated to classes based on a variety  
22          of allocation factors designed to reflect cost causation that ultimately reflect  
23          design day demand and customers.

24

25   **Q.    PLEASE DESCRIBE THE RESULTS OF THIS PROCESS AS APPLIED**  
26   **TO THE COST OF SERVICE DATA.**

27   A.    The following section outlines, by account, the functionalization and  
28          classification of costs. The allocation for these costs is discussed in general  
29          below.

30          I. Gas Plant in Service

31          A. Intangible Plant - None

1 B. Production Plant - None

2 C. Storage Plant - None

3 D. Transmission Plant - None

4 E. Distribution Plant

5 a. Land and Land Rights

6 Land and Land Rights are functionalized and classified based on other  
7 distribution accounts.

8 b. Services

9 Services are functionalized to Distribution and then classified to Distribution  
10 Customer.

11 c. Mains

12 As discussed earlier, mains are functionalized to Distribution, and then classified  
13 as either Distribution Customer or Distribution Demand. The customer  
14 component percentage was estimated using data for a mature utility of like size.  
15 By employing the minimum-size concept, 77% of the distribution mains were  
16 classified as customer related and 23% distribution demand related.

17 d. Measuring and Regulating Station Equipment

18 Measuring and regulating equipment is functionalized to Distribution and  
19 classified to Distribution Demand.

20 e. Meters

21 The plant account for meters is functionalized to Distribution and then classified  
22 to Distribution Customer.

23 f. Development O&M

24 As discussed above, these costs are classified to Distribution Demand and  
25 Distribution Customer based on plant.

26 F. General Plant

27 General Plant accounts are functionalized and classified based on labour.

28 II. Depreciation Reserve

29 Depreciation Reserve accounts are functionalized and classified based on their  
30 corresponding gross plant values.

1            III. Other Rate Base Items

2            These various accounts are functionalized and classified based on labour or plant.  
3            The Deferral Account is classified as Distribution Demand and Distribution  
4            Customer as discussed above.

5            I. Operation and Maintenance Expenses

6            A. Production Expenses

7            1. Gas Supply Operation Expense

8            These expenses are classified to Distribution Demand and Distribution Customer  
9            based on distribution plant.

10           B. Storage Expenses- None

11           C. Transmission Expenses- None

12           D. Distribution Expenses

13           1. Mains/Services Expenses

14           Expense for mains and services are functionalized and classified proportionally  
15           based on the Main and Service plant accounts.

16           2. Measuring and Regulating Expenses

17           Measuring and Regulating expenses are functionalized to Distribution and  
18           classified to Distribution Demand.

19           3. General Maintenance

20           General Maintenance expenses are functionalized and classified based on the  
21           cost of labour for the non-general plant accounts as discussed above.

22           II. Sales and Marketing Expenses

23           1. Advertising

24           Advertising expenses, which includes incentives, are functionalized Onsite and  
25           classified to Customer.

26           2. Other Sales Promotion

27           Other Sales Promotion Expenses are functionalized Onsite and classified to  
28           Customer.

29           III. Customer Service & Information Expenses

30           1. Meter Reading Expenses

31           Meter Reading Expense is functionalized Onsite and classified to Customer.



1           2. Customer Billing & Accounting Expense  
2           Customer Billing & Accounting Expense are functionalized Onsite and classified  
3           to Customer.

4           3. Uncollectible Account Expenses  
5           Uncollectible Accounts Expense is functionalized Onsite and classified to  
6           Customer.

7           IV. Administrative and General Expenses

8           Administrative and General Expenses are identified in two groups: labour related,  
9           and plant related. Labour related expenses are functionalized and classified  
10          according to labour in each function. Plant related expenses are functionalized  
11          and classified according to plant in each function.

12          VI. Depreciation and Amortization

13          Depreciation and Amortization Expenses are functionalized and classified the  
14          same as the allocation of Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization.  
15          Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization follow the plant accounts for  
16          function and for classification. If a plant account is classified as Demand the  
17          accumulated depreciation logically must also be classified to Demand. If the  
18          plant is classified as both Distribution Demand and Customer, the depreciation  
19          expense and accumulated depreciation follow the plant.

20          VII. Taxes

21          A. General Tax, Real Estate Tax

22          General taxes are functionalized and classified based on the form of the tax. Real  
23          Estate Taxes are functionalized and classified based on Plant.

24          B. Franchise and Revenue Taxes: None

25          C. Income Taxes: None

26          V. Revenue and Other Revenue

27          Revenues were functionalized and classified based on revenue requirements.

1

2 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE ALLOCATIONS USED IN THE COST OF**  
3 **SERVICE STUDY.**

4 A. In general, the demand allocation factors are related to design day requirements.  
5 There are no commodity related costs in the study. The customer allocation  
6 factors are based on the number of customers in each class. The final allocation  
7 for each account is summarized in the cost of service study.

8

9 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COST OF SERVICE SCHEDULES**  
10 **ATTACHED TO THE TESTIMONY.**

11 A. There are five schedules attached to the testimony that provide the results of the  
12 cost of service study based on the concept of a “Mature Utility”, using accounting  
13 and cost of service assuming amortization of the deferred accounting treatment  
14 associated with the Development Period.

- 15 • Schedule HEO-1 consists of 5 pages and represents the results of the class  
16 cost of service study for the test year. Each page contains an account  
17 description or label for the accounting data indicating the category of cost.  
18 The total dollars for each account is also provided. The remainder of the page  
19 shows the proportion of each account allocated to each rate class based on the  
20 proposed class definitions. Page 4 provides the net income (line 218) and  
21 earned return (line 219) for EGNB and each rate class under current rates.  
22 Page 5 provides the total cost of service revenue requirement (line 268) for  
23 EGNB and each rate class assuming that each rate class must earn the allowed  
24 return.
- 25 • Schedule HEO-2 consists of 5 pages and provides the summary of account  
26 functionalization. As Schedule HEO-2 illustrates, all EGNB costs are  
27 functionalized as distribution and on-site (customer).
- 28 • Schedule HEO-3 consists of 6 pages and summarizes the classification of the  
29 distribution function accounts. No portion of distribution costs are related to  
30 commodity so no portion of these costs is classified as commodity.

- 1           • Schedule HEO-4 consists of 20 pages and provides the allocation of each  
2           account by classification and by rate class.
- 3           • Schedule HEO-5 consists of 4 pages and provides a summary of the allocation  
4           factors by account and function.

5

6   **Q.   PLEASE DISCUSS THE IMPLICATIONS OF THE COST STUDY FOR**  
7   **THE DETERMINATION OF THE END POINT OF THE**  
8   **DEVELOPMENT PERIOD.**

- 9   A.   The cost of service study provides a benchmark for determining the revenue  
10   requirement that must be recovered from rates in order for the utility to have a  
11   reasonable opportunity to earn the allowed return. The results demonstrate the  
12   level of revenue required to provide and sustain a mature utility. In addition, the  
13   results provide guidance related to the benefit of additional system expansion  
14   through the addition of new customers. Where adding customers produces more  
15   revenue than additional cost, the unit cost of service will decline.

16

17   **Section 5 - Principles of Rate Design**

18

19   **Q.   PLEASE IDENTIFY THE PRINCIPLES OF RATE DESIGN YOU HAVE**  
20   **RELIED ON TO RECOMMEND A RATE PROPOSAL BELOW.**

- 21   A.   A number of rate design principles or objectives find broad acceptance in  
22   regulatory and policy literature. These include:
- 23           1. Efficiency;
- 24           2. Cost of Service;
- 25           3. Value of Service;
- 26           4. Stability;
- 27           5. Non-Discrimination;
- 28           6. Administrative Simplicity;
- 29           7. Balanced Budget.

30

1 These rate design principles draw heavily on the “Attributes of a Sound Rate  
2 Structure” developed by James Bonbright in Principles of Public Utility Rates.  
3 Each of these principles plays an important role in analyzing the rate designs  
4 discussed in my testimony. To understand the role these principles play, the  
5 following discusses each of the principles.

6  
7 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE PRINCIPLE OF EFFICIENCY.**

8 A. The principle of efficiency broadly incorporates both economic and technical  
9 efficiency. As such, this principle has both a pricing dimension and an  
10 engineering dimension. Economically efficient pricing promotes good decision-  
11 making by gas producers and consumers, fosters efficient expansion of delivery  
12 capacity, results in efficient capital investment in customer facilities and  
13 facilitates the efficient use of existing pipeline, storage and distribution resources.  
14 The efficiency principle benefits stakeholders by creating outcomes for regulation  
15 consistent with the long-run benefits of competition while permitting the  
16 economies of scale consistent with the best cost of service. Technical efficiency  
17 means that the development of the system is designed and constructed to meet the  
18 design day requirements of customers using the most economic equipment and  
19 technology consistent with design standards.

20  
21 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE COST OF SERVICE AND VALUE OF SERVICE  
22 PRINCIPLES.**

23 A. These principles each relate to designing rates that recover the total revenue  
24 requirement without causing inefficient choices by consumers. The cost of  
25 service principle contrasts with the value of service principle when certain  
26 transactions do not occur at price levels determined by embedded cost of service.  
27 In essence, the value of service acts as a ceiling on prices. Where prices are set at  
28 levels higher than the value of service, consumers will not purchase the service.

29  
30 As previously noted, the calculation of a “true” cost of service is complicated by  
31 the fact that for network industries like the natural gas distribution industry, the

1 provision of public utility service often involves joint and common costs which  
2 must be allocated (rather than directly assigned) to specific customer classes or  
3 rate schedules to develop a full cost of service study. While a good fully  
4 distributed cost of service analysis can be performed using principles of cost  
5 causation, informed judgment is nonetheless required to perform such a study. A  
6 fully distributed cost of service study, properly reflecting cost causation principles  
7 and employing sound methods, provides a reasonable tool for evaluating the  
8 allocation of the total revenue requirement to customer classes (interclass  
9 distribution) and within the customer classes (intra-class distribution).  
10 Importantly, the cost allocation must also recognize the value of service ceiling.  
11 This is particularly true for a greenfield operation where the maximum rate  
12 applicable to a customer must be less than the cost of an alternative energy source  
13 for providing the end use services from another energy source. Failure to set rates  
14 below the value of service ceiling means that customers will elect to use other  
15 energy sources to the detriment of all customers.

16  
17 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE PRINCIPLE OF STABILITY.**

18 A. The principle of stability typically applies to customer rates. This principle  
19 suggests that reasonably stable and predictable prices are important objectives of  
20 a proper rate design. The stability principle also incorporates the concept of  
21 gradualism in moving from one system of pricing to another. For example, under  
22 this principle changing from one rate form to another may require several steps to  
23 gradually transition to new rates in order to prevent customer rate shock that  
24 would occur if the transition resulted in significant changes for large numbers of  
25 customers. Of course, bills for heating customers and market commodity rates are  
26 not stable because of weather and market volatility. This does not mean that such  
27 rates violate the stability principle since the delivery service portion remains the  
28 same regardless of the weather or the cost of gas. Under cost of service rates, it is  
29 not unusual to reflect market fluctuations based on gas commodity costs although  
30 some utilities have purchased gas adjustment mechanisms that smooth out market  
31 fluctuations, thus sacrificing price efficiency for stability under a regulated

1 pricing process. Given the unbundled gas supply in New Brunswick, there is no  
2 issue related to stability.

3  
4 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE CONCEPT OF NON-DISCRIMINATION.**

5 A. The concept of non-discrimination requires prices designed to promote fairness  
6 and avoid undue discrimination. Fairness requires no undue subsidization either  
7 between customers in the same class or across different classes of customers. As  
8 noted above, there is a range of outcomes that may be reasonable on economic  
9 grounds- between marginal cost and SAC.

10  
11 This principle recognizes that the ratemaking process requires discrimination  
12 where there are factors at work that cause the discrimination to be useful in  
13 accomplishing other objectives. For example, things like the location, type of  
14 meter and service, demand characteristics, size, and a variety of other  
15 considerations are often recognized in the design of utility rates to properly  
16 distribute the total cost of service to and within customer classes.

17  
18 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE PRINCIPLE OF ADMINISTRATIVE**  
19 **SIMPLICITY.**

20 A. The principle of administrative simplicity as it relates to rate design requires  
21 prices reasonably simple to administer and understand. This concept includes  
22 price transparency within the constraints of the ratemaking process. Prices are  
23 transparent when customers are able to reasonably calculate and predict bill levels  
24 and interpret details about the charges resulting from the application of the tariff.  
25 The principle of simplicity also recognizes that different customer classes may  
26 have different tolerances for complexity. Thus, it is not unusual to have more  
27 complex rates for larger commercial and industrial classes because the more  
28 complex rates track costs better and the customers have more expertise to  
29 understand the rates.

1

2 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE PRINCIPLE OF THE BALANCED BUDGET.**

3 A. Finally, there is the critical principle that rate design permits the utility a  
4 reasonable opportunity to recover the allowed revenue requirement based on the  
5 cost of service. Proper design of utility rates is a necessary condition to enable an  
6 effective opportunity to recover the cost of providing service included in the  
7 revenue requirement authorized by the regulatory authority. This principle is very  
8 similar to the stability objective previously discussed from the perspective of  
9 customer rates. Under the balanced budget principle, recognition is given to the  
10 fact that rates are set prospectively. As previously noted, the first twelve months  
11 of the new rates is referred to as the “Rate Effective Period”. This principle  
12 recognizes that the costs and revenues from a test period are intended to be an  
13 estimate of the costs and revenues in the Rate Effective Period. It is incumbent on  
14 the regulatory process to be assured that the rates provide a reasonable  
15 opportunity to earn the allowed return in that Rate Effective Period. When rates  
16 fail to meet this test, the rates are unreasonable.

17

18 **Q. AT TIMES, CAN THE OBJECTIVES EMBEDDED IN THESE**  
19 **PRINCIPLES COMPETE WITH EACH OTHER?**

20 A. Yes, like most principles that have broad application, these principles can  
21 compete with each other. This competition, or tension, requires further judgment  
22 to strike the right balance between the principles. Detailed evaluation of rate  
23 design alternatives and rate design recommendations must recognize the potential  
24 and actual competition between these principles. Indeed, Bonbright discusses this  
25 tension in detail. Rate design recommendations must deal effectively with such  
26 tension. For example, as noted above, there are tensions between cost and value  
27 of service principles.

28

1 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CONFLICT BETWEEN MARGINAL COST**  
2 **PRICE SIGNALS AND THE RECOVERY OF THE REVENUE**  
3 **REQUIREMENT.**

4 A. The conflict between good price signals based on marginal cost and a balanced  
5 budget or revenue recovery principle arises because marginal cost is below  
6 average cost due to economies of scale. Where fixed delivery service costs do not  
7 vary with volume of gas sales, marginal costs for delivery equal zero. Marginal  
8 customer costs equal the additional cost of providing the entire delivery service to  
9 the customer. Marginal cost tends to be either above or below average cost in  
10 both the short run and the long run. This means that marginal cost-based pricing  
11 will produce either too much or too little revenue to support the revenue  
12 requirement. This suggests that efficient price signals may require a multi-part  
13 tariff designed to meet the revenue requirements while sending marginal cost  
14 price signals related to consumption decisions. Properly designed, a multi-part  
15 tariff may include elements such as access charges, facilities charges, demand  
16 charges, consumption charges and the potential for revenue credits. In the case of  
17 a gas LDC, for residential and small general service customers the combination of  
18 scale economies and class homogeneity permits the use of a single fixed annual  
19 charge that meets all of the requirements for an efficient rate and recovers the  
20 embedded cost revenue requirement as an additional rate option. For larger  
21 customers, a combination of these elements permit good price signals and revenue  
22 recovery; however, the tariff design becomes more difficult to structure and likely  
23 will no longer meet the requirements of simplicity. Therefore, sacrificing some  
24 economic efficiency for a customer class in order to maintain simplicity  
25 represents a reasonable compromise. For larger customers the added complexity  
26 of a demand charge is not a concern. Further, for the largest customers where  
27 costs and load characteristics differ significantly, the cost of metering is customer  
28 specific and each customer creates its own unique requirements for distribution  
29 service based on factors such as distance from the city gate, pressure requirements  
30 and contract demand.

31



1 **Q. ARE THERE OTHER POTENTIAL CONFLICTS?**

2 **A.** Yes. There are potential conflicts between simplicity and non-discrimination and  
3 between value of service and non-discrimination. Simple rates for classes that are  
4 not homogeneous often result in intraclass subsidies because of different load  
5 characteristics and facility requirements. Other potential conflicts arise where  
6 utilities face unique circumstances that must be considered as part of the rate  
7 design process.

8

9 **Q. HOW ARE THESE PRINCIPLES TRANSLATED INTO THE DESIGN OF**  
10 **GAS DISTRIBUTION RATES?**

11 **A.** The process of developing rates within the context of these principles and  
12 conflicts requires a detailed understanding of all the factors that impact rate  
13 design. These factors include:

- 14 1. System cost characteristics such as the embedded customer,  
15 demand and commodity related costs by type of service;
- 16 2. Customer load characteristics such as peak demand, load factor,  
17 seasonality of loads, and quality of service;
- 18 3. Market considerations such as elasticity of demand, competitive  
19 fuel prices, end-use load characteristics and bypass alternatives  
20 related to alternate fuels in the case of EGNB; and
- 21 4. Other considerations such as the value of service ceiling/marginal  
22 cost floor, unique customer requirements, areas of under-utilized  
23 facilities, opportunities to offer new services and the status of  
24 competitive market development.

25

26 In addition, the development of rates must consider existing rates and the  
27 customer impact of modifications to the rates.

28

29 In each case, a rate design seeks to recover the authorized level of revenue based  
30 on the actual billing determinants occurring during the test period used to develop  
31 the rates.

1

2 **Section 6 - Rate Design for the Proposed Service Classes**

3

4 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE EXISTING EGNB RATES.**

5 A. Currently, EGNB has the following rate schedules:

- 6 1. Small General Service Residential Electric (SGSRE)
- 7 2. Small General Service Residential Oil (SGSRO)
- 8 3. Small General Service Commercial (SGSC)
- 9 4. General Service (GS)
- 10 5. Contract General Service (CGS)
- 11 6. Contract Large General Service LFO (CLGS-LFO)
- 12 7. Contract Large General Service HFO (CLGS-HFO)
- 13 8. Off Peak Service (OPS)
- 14 9. Contract Large Volume Off Peak Service (CLVOPS)
- 15 10. Natural Gas Vehicle Fueling (NGVF)

16 For the various schedules applicable to smaller customers, the schedules consist  
 17 of a Monthly Distribution Customer Charge and a flat Monthly Distribution  
 18 Delivery Charge that is a volumetric charge per GJ. The contract service rates  
 19 consist of a two part Monthly Distribution Delivery Charge, with a demand  
 20 charge per GJ of the greater of contract demand or the actual billing demand and a  
 21 flat charge per GJ for delivered volumes. Rate CLGS-LFO has a declining block  
 22 charge per GJ for delivered volumes.

23

24 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROPOSED NEW RATE CLASSES.**

25 A. The proposed new rate classes consist of the following:

- 26 1. Small General Service (SGS)
- 27 2. Mid General Service (MGS)
- 28 3. Large General Service (LGS)
- 29 4. Contract General Service (CGS)
- 30 5. Industrial Contract General Service (ICGS)
- 31 6. Off Peak Service (OPS).

1

2 **Q. HOW DO UTILITIES DETERMINE THE NUMBER AND TYPE OF**  
3 **RATE CLASSES?**

4 A. The determination of rate classes may be accomplished in a variety of ways.  
5 Classes may be determined based on customer end use characteristics such as  
6 residential, commercial and industrial. Rate classes are designed to group  
7 customers together so that the groups are relatively homogeneous in terms of load  
8 characteristics and methods of taking service. This is of particular concern where  
9 rates must be relatively simple since such rates cannot track variations in costs  
10 within rate schedules with limited rate components. In determining the rate  
11 classes for EGNB, the process focused on the fundamental principles of class  
12 homogeneity in terms of load characteristics and the method of taking service (the  
13 size and type of delivery facilities).

14

15 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE DETERMINATION OF THE PROPOSED**  
16 **RATE CLASSES.**

17 A. By grouping homogeneous customers together, the proposed rate classes provide  
18 a reasonable basis for cost determination. The SGS rate includes the former  
19 residential rates as well as the smallest general service customers. The SGS  
20 customers have similar load characteristics based on the end use of gas behind the  
21 meter and are served using similar facilities. The other rate classes are delineated  
22 by customer size based on peak month usage as a proxy for design day demand,  
23 and recognize that customer size is a significant element in the determination of  
24 the cost to serve customers and the impact of scale economies. The following  
25 table summarizes the classification for each class.

26

Table 1

## Rate Classification Based on Size

| Rate Class | Minimum Size<br>Peak Month Use | Maximum Size<br>Peak Month Use |
|------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| SGS        | None                           | Less than 60 GJ                |
| MGS        | 60 GJ                          | Less than 250 GJ               |
| LGS        | 250 GJ                         | None                           |
| CGS        | 1,000 GJ                       | Less than 10,000 GJ            |
| ICGS       | 10,000 GJ                      | None                           |

Rates SGS, MGS, LGS and OPS consist of a customer charge and, with the exception of SGS, a declining block rate charge, and as such require more homogeneity within the class to track intraclass costs. The other rates have a contract demand charge and a declining block rate charge. The declining block rate charge recognizes the scale economies associated with delivery service. As with any new rate designs, it may be necessary to incorporate other features such as additional rate blocks, graduated customer charges and potentially other rate designs to track costs more precisely as the utility becomes a mature utility. Specifically, it is proposed that the LGS rate class have at least two different customer charges based on meter type and size to reflect the cost of service. A seasonally differentiated tail block for the non-heating season to adequately track costs for high load factor customers in the class is also proposed for the LGS rate class. Finalizing these types of determinations will be part of the cost of service/rate design process for a mature utility and the transition away from the Development Period.

**Q. DOES USING SIZE AS THE BASIS FOR RATE CLASSES CREATE AN ISSUE RELATIVE TO THE CROSSING POINTS AMONG THE RATES?**

A. Yes. Using size to determine the rate applicable to customers creates an issue for customers whose usage is near a breakpoint in the rates. For this reason, it is useful to define rate provisions so that customers do not switch between rate

1 schedules when there is a short term benefit of doing so. For example, a customer  
2 with one or two winter months when the temperature is colder than normal may  
3 exceed 60 GJ and thus would be eligible for the MGS rate. However, the MGS  
4 rate would not be the proper rate for the customer except in cold winter months.  
5 The solution to this type of issue is to require a 12 month term of service for any  
6 rate schedule to prevent rate shopping by customers. In addition, the use of  
7 minimum bills also prevents customers from shifting to a different rate without  
8 class load characteristics reflective of that rate. Minimum bills may be more than  
9 the customer charge. For example, the LGS minimum bill might be the customer  
10 charge plus 10 GJ of consumption each month of the year or possibly during the  
11 winter months of December through March. This would assure that only large  
12 customers would use this rate schedule. By using a term of service and a  
13 minimum bill, issues related to the crossing points are resolved.  
14

15 **Q. HAVE YOU DEVELOPED PROTOTYPE RATES BASED ON THE COST**  
16 **OF SERVICE STUDY AS EXAMPLES OF RATE OPTIONS?**

17 A. No. At this time, there is no proposal to move from market based rates. The final  
18 design and costing of the first cost of service rates will depend on many factors  
19 that should be reviewed at the time of transition, rather than in the abstract, when  
20 significant changes may occur in the elements of the rates and levels of costs  
21 based on a variety of factors during the Development Period. Rather, we have  
22 provided a summary of the average cost per GJ for delivery service based on cost  
23 of service revenue requirements less other revenues to illustrate the approximate  
24 magnitude of rates resulting from strict adherence to the cost study. Schedule  
25 HEO-6 provides this information.  
26

27 **Q. HOW SHOULD THESE RATES BE USED?**

28 A. These rates should be the basis of a comparison of cost of service plus expected  
29 gas costs to alternate fuel prices for determining if the cost of service is  
30 sustainable over the long term. Based on the results of the cost study and the unit  
31 costs to be recovered under cost based rates, it is reasonable to conclude that such

1 rates are not currently viable or sustainable across all rate classes. Continued  
2 expansion of the system is critical to achieving sufficient scale necessary to end  
3 the Development Period. In addition, given the use of market based rates, some  
4 transition period will be necessary to move to the end state based on sound rate  
5 design principles.

6

7 **Section 7 - Summary**

8

9 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR TESTIMONY.**

10 A. My testimony discusses both the theory and application of gas cost of service and  
11 rate design. I demonstrate that the cost of service methodology properly reflects  
12 cost causation and produces reasonable results related to the costs for each class  
13 of service. The cost of service also produces the annual revenue requirement for  
14 EGNB based on the 2010 test year. This information is useful relative to the  
15 required level of rates and the potential timing of the end of the Development  
16 Period.

17

18 **Q. DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

19 A. Yes.